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1. **Master Agreement Process**

   1.1. The objective of this Request for Statement of Qualifications (RFSQ) process is to secure qualified Vendors to provide the Great Plates Delivered Program services. Specific tasks, deliverables, etc. will be determined at the time Workforce Development, Aging and Community Services (WDACS) issues Work Orders.

   1.2. Master Agreements will be executed with all Vendors determined to be qualified.

   1.3. Upon the Department’s execution of these Master Agreements, the qualified Vendors will become County Contractors, and thereafter be solicited under competitive conditions to provide as needed (Great Plates Delivered Program) under Work Orders to be issued by County. Work Orders shall include a Statement of Work which shall describe in detail the particular project and the work required for the performance thereof. Payment for all work shall be either on a time and materials basis or on a fixed price per deliverable basis, subject to the Total Maximum Amount specified on each individual Work Order. **The execution of a Master Agreement does not guarantee a Contractor any minimum amount of business.**

2. **Master Agreement Term**

   2.1. **The term of the Master Agreement will be day the Master Agreement is signed through June 10, 2020 with the option to extend at the sole discretion of the County and contingent upon availability of funds.**

   2.2. County may accept Statements of Qualifications (SOQs), at the discretion of the County, throughout the duration of the Master Agreement to qualify Vendors. The Master Agreement will become effective upon the date of its execution by the Director of WDACS or designee and expire on June 10, 2020, unless sooner extended or terminated.

3. **County Rights and Responsibilities**

   3.1. The County has the right to amend the RFSQ by written addendum. The County is responsible only for that which is expressly stated in the solicitation document and any authorized written addenda thereto. Such addendum shall be made available to each person or organization which County records indicate has received this RFSQ. Should such addendum require additional information not previously requested, failure to address the requirements of such addendum may result in the SOQ not being considered, as determined in the sole discretion of the County. The County is not responsible for and shall not be bound by any representations otherwise made by any individual acting or purporting to act on its behalf.
4. **Contact with County Personnel**

Any contact regarding this RFSQ or any matter relating thereto must be in writing and may be e-mailed as follows:

greatplatesrestaurant@wdacs.lacounty.gov

If it is discovered that a Vendor contacted and received information from any County personnel, other than the contact specified above, regarding this solicitation, County, in its sole determination, may disqualify their SOQ from further consideration.

5. **Mandatory Requirement to Register on County’s WebVen**

5.1. Prior to executing a Work Order, all potential Contractors must register in the County’s WebVen. The WebVen contains the Vendor’s business profile and identifies the goods/services the business provides. Registration can be accomplished online via the Internet by accessing the County’s home page at http://camisvr.co.ca.us/webven/

6. **County Option to Reject SOQs**

6.1. The County may, at its sole discretion, reject any or all SOQs submitted in response to this solicitation. The County shall not be liable for any cost incurred by a Vendor in connection with preparation and submittal of any SOQ. The County reserves the right to waive inconsequential disparities in a submitted SOQ.

7. **Protest Process**

7.1. Under Board Policy No. 5.055 (Services Contract Solicitation Protest), any prospective Vendor may request a review of the requirements under a solicitation for a Board-approved services contracts by sending an email to the contact under Section 4.

7.2. Throughout the review process, the County has no obligation to delay or otherwise postpone an award of contract based on a Vendor protest. In all cases, the County reserves the right to make an award when it is determined to be in the best interest of the County of Los Angeles to do so.

8. **Notice to Vendor’s Regarding Public Records Act**

8.1. Responses to this RFSQ shall become the exclusive property of the County. At such time as when Department recommends the qualified Vendor(s) for a Work Order, all SOQ’s submitted in response to this RFSQ, become a matter of public record.
8.2. The County shall not, in any way, be liable or responsible for the disclosure of any such record or any parts thereof, if disclosure is required or permitted under the California Public Records Act or otherwise by law.

9. **Indemnification and Insurance**

9.1. Vendor shall be required to comply with the Indemnification provisions contained in the Master Agreement. Vendor shall procure, maintain, and provide to the County proof of insurance coverage for all the programs of insurance along with associated amounts specified in the Master Agreement.

10. **Background and Security Investigations**

10.1. Background and security investigations of Vendor’s staff may be required at the discretion of the County as a condition of beginning and continuing work under any resulting agreement. The cost of background checks is the responsibility of the Vendor.

11. **Confidentiality and Independent Contractor Status**

11.1. As appropriate, Contractor shall be required to comply with the Confidentiality provision and the Independent Contractor Status in the Master Agreement.

12. **Conflict of Interest**

12.1. No County employee whose position in the County enables him/her to influence the selection of a Contractor for this RFSQ, or any competing RFSQ, nor any spouse of economic dependent of such employees, shall be employed in any capacity by a Vendor or have any other direct or indirect financial interest in the selection of a Contractor.

13. **Determination of Vendor Responsibility**

13.1. A responsible Vendor is a Vendor who has demonstrated the attribute of trustworthiness, as well as quality, fitness, capacity and experience to satisfactorily perform the contract. It is the County’s policy to conduct business only with responsible Vendors.

13.2. Vendors are hereby notified that, in accordance with Chapter 2.202 of the County Code, the County may determine whether the Vendor is responsible based on a review of the Vendor’s performance on any contracts, including but not limited to County contracts. Particular attention will be given to violations of labor laws related to employee compensation and benefits, and evidence of false claims made by the Vendor against public entities. Labor law violations which are the fault of the subcontractors and of which the Vendor had no knowledge shall not be the basis of a determination that the Vendor is not responsible.
13.2.1. The County may declare a Vendor to be non-responsible for purposes of this Master Agreement if the Board of Supervisors, in its discretion, finds that the Vendor has done any of the following: (1) violated a term of a contract with the County or a nonprofit corporation created by the County; (2) committed an act or omission which negatively reflects on the Vendor’s quality, fitness or capacity to perform a contract with the County, any other public entity, or a nonprofit corporation created by the County, or engaged in a pattern or practice which negatively reflects on same; (3) committed an act or omission which indicates a lack of business integrity or business honesty; or (4) made or submitted a false claim against the County or any other public entity.

13.2.2. If there is evidence that the Vendor may not be responsible, the Department shall notify the Vendor in writing of the evidence relating to the Vendor’s responsibility, and its intention to recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the Vendor be found not responsible. The Department shall provide the Vendor and/or the Vendor’s representative with an opportunity to present evidence as to why the Vendor should be found to be responsible and to rebut evidence which is the basis for the Department’s recommendation.

13.2.3. If the Vendor presents evidence in rebuttal to the Department, the Department shall evaluate the merits of such evidence, and based on that evaluation, make a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors. The final decision concerning the responsibility of the Vendor shall reside with the Board of Supervisors.

13.2.4. These terms shall also apply to proposed subcontractors of Vendors on County contracts

14. Vendor Debarment

14.1. Vendor is hereby notified that, in accordance with Chapter 2.202 of the County Code, the County may debar the Vendor from bidding or proposing on, or being awarded, and/or performing work on other County contracts for a specified period of time, which generally will not exceed five (5) years but may exceed five (5) years or be permanent if warranted by the circumstances, and the County may terminate any or all of the Vendor’s existing contracts with County, if the Board of Supervisors finds, in its discretion, that the Vendor has done any of the following: (1) violated a term of a contract with the County or a nonprofit corporation created by the County; (2) committed an act or omission which negatively reflects on the Vendor’s quality, fitness or capacity to perform a contract with the County, any other public entity, or a nonprofit corporation created by the County, or engaged in a pattern or practice which negatively reflects on same; (3) committed an act or offense which indicates a lack of
business integrity or business honesty; or (4) made or submitted a false claim against the County or any other public entity.

14.2. If a Vendor has been debarred for a period longer than five (5) years, that Vendor may, after the debarment has been in effect for at least five (5) years, submit a written request for review of the debarment determination to reduce the period of debarment or terminate the debarment. The County may, in its discretion, reduce the period of debarment or terminate the debarment if it finds that the Vendor has adequately demonstrated one or more of the following: (1) elimination of the grounds for which the debarment was imposed; (2) a bona fide change in ownership or management; (3) material evidence discovered after debarment was imposed; or (4) any other reason that is in the best interests of the County.

14.3. The Contractor Hearing Board will consider requests for review of a debarment determination only where (1) the Vendor has been debarred for a period longer than five (5) years; (2) the debarment has been in effect for at least five (5) years; and (3) the request is in writing, states one or more of the grounds for reduction of the debarment period or termination of the debarment, and includes supporting documentation. Upon receiving an appropriate request, the Contractor Hearing Board will provide notice of the hearing on the request. At the hearing, the Contractor Hearing Board shall conduct a hearing where evidence on the proposed reduction of debarment period or termination of debarment is presented. This hearing shall be conducted and the request for review decided by the Contractor Hearing Board pursuant to the same procedures as for a debarment hearing.

14.4. The Contractor Hearing Board’s proposed decision shall contain a recommendation on the request to reduce the period of debarment or terminate the debarment. The Contractor Hearing Board shall present its proposed decision and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors shall have the right to modify, deny, or adopt the proposed decision and recommendation of the Contractor Hearing Board.

14.5. These terms shall also apply to proposed subcontractors of Vendors on County contracts.


15. Gratuities

15.1. Attempt to Secure Favorable Treatment
15.1.1. It is improper for any County officer, employee or agent to solicit consideration, in any form, from a Vendor with the implication, suggestion or statement that the Vendor’s provision of the consideration
may secure more favorable treatment for the Vendor in the award of a Master Agreement or that the Vendor’s failure to provide such consideration may negatively affect the County’s consideration of the Vendor’s submission. A Vendor shall not offer or give either directly or through an intermediary, consideration, in any form, to a County officer, employee or agent for the purpose of securing favorable treatment with respect to the award of a Master Agreement.

15.2. Vendor Notification to County

15.2.1. A Vendor shall immediately report any attempt by a County officer, employee or agent to solicit such improper consideration. The report shall be made either to the County manager charged with the supervision of the employee or to the County Auditor-Controller’s Employee Fraud Hotline at (800) 544-6861. Failure to report such a solicitation may result in the Vendor’s submission being eliminated from consideration.

15.3. Form of Improper Consideration

15.3.1. Among other items, such improper consideration may take the form of cash, discounts, services, the provision of travel or entertainment, or tangible gifts.

16. County’s Quality Assurance Plan

16.1. After award of a Master Agreement and subsequent Work Order(s), the County or its agent will monitor the Contractor’s performance under the Master Agreement and Work Order. Such monitoring will include assessing Contractor’s compliance with all terms and conditions in the Master Agreement and performance standards identified in the Work Order. Contractor’s deficiencies which the County determines are significant or continuing and that may jeopardize performance of this Master Agreement and subsequent Work Orders will be reported to the County’s Board of Supervisors. The report will include improvement/corrective action measures taken by the County and Contractor. If improvement does not occur consistent with the corrective action measures, the County may terminate the Master Agreement and/or Work Order in whole or in part, or impose other penalties as specified in the Master Agreement.

17. Default Method of Payment: Direct Deposit or Electronic Funds Transfer

17.1. The County, at its sole discretion, has determined that the most efficient and secure default form of payment for goods and/or services provided under an agreement/contract with the County shall be Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT) or direct deposit, unless an alternative method of payment is deemed appropriate by the Auditor-Controller (A-C).
17.2. Upon contract award or at the request of the A-C and/or the contracting department, the Contractor shall submit a direct deposit authorization request with banking and vendor information, and any other information that the A-C determines is reasonably necessary to process the payment and comply with all accounting, record keeping, and tax reporting requirements.

17.3. Any provision of law, grant, or funding agreement requiring a specific form or method of payment other than EFT or direct deposit shall supersede this requirement with respect to those payments.

17.4. Upon contract award or at any time during the duration of the agreement/contract, a Contractor may submit a written request for an exemption to this requirement. The A-C, in consultation with the contracting department(s), shall decide whether to approve exemption requests.

18. **Prohibition from Participation in Future Solicitation(s)**

18.1. Neither a Contractor, subsidiary of nor Subcontractor to Contractor, nor a Proposer shall participate, in any way, in any future solicitations conducted by County that includes, or is based upon any services rendered by the Contractor/Proposer under this Agreement. As this prohibition applies to Subcontractors of the Contractor, the Contractor shall notify any Subcontractors providing services under this Agreement of this prohibition before they commence work. Any response to a solicitation submitted by the Contractor/Proposer, or by any subsidiary of or Subcontractor to the Contractor/Proposer in violation of this provision shall be rejected by County. This provision shall survive the expiration, or other termination of this Agreement.

19. **County Responsibility**

19.1. The County is not responsible for representations made by any of its officers or employees prior to the execution of the Master Agreement unless such understanding or representation is included in the Master Agreement.

20. **Truth and Accuracy of Representations**

20.1. False, misleading, incomplete, or deceptively unresponsive statements in connection with a SOQ shall be sufficient cause for rejection of the SOQ. The evaluation and determination in this area shall be at the Director’s sole judgment and his/her judgment shall be final.

21. **Acceptance of Terms and Conditions of Master Agreement**
21.1. Vendors understand and agree that submission of the SOQ constitutes acknowledgement and acceptance of, and a willingness to comply with, all terms and conditions of the Master Agreement.

22. Disqualification Review

22.1. An SOQ may be disqualified from consideration because a Department determined it was non-responsive at any time during the review/evaluation process. If a Department determines that a SOQ is disqualified due to non-responsiveness, the Department shall notify the Vendor.

22.2. Upon receipt of the determination of non-responsiveness, the Vendor may submit a written request for a Disqualification Review to the email listed above.

22.3. A request for a Disqualification Review may, in the Department’s sole discretion, be denied if the request does not satisfy the following criteria:

22.3.1. The request for a Disqualification Review asserts that the Department's determination of disqualification due to non-responsiveness was erroneous (e.g. factual errors, etc.) and provides factual support on each ground asserted as well as copies of all documents and other material that support the assertions.

22.4. The Disqualification Review shall be completed and the determination shall be provided to the requesting Vendor, in writing, prior to the conclusion of the evaluation process.

23. Master Agreement Award

23.1. Vendors who are notified by the Department that they appear to have the necessary qualifications and experience (i.e., they are qualified) may still not be recommended for a Master Agreement if other requirements necessary for award have not been met. Other requirements may include acceptance of the terms and conditions of the Master Agreement, and/or satisfactory documentation that required insurance will be obtained. Only when all such matters have been demonstrated to the Department’s satisfaction can a Vendor, which is otherwise deemed qualified, be regarded as “selected” for recommendation of a Master Agreement.

23.2. The Department will execute Board of Supervisors-authorized Master Agreements with each selected vendor. All Vendors will be informed of the final selections.